A Pre-Experimental Study to assess the Effectiveness of Specific Nursing Intervention on Low Back Pain Among Patients with Intervertebral Disc Prolapse at selected hospital, Chennai

Devakirubai Mozhi¹, Deepa², Lingaraj Chitra³

¹Deputy Assistant Nursing Superintendent cum Infection Control Nurse, Sri Venkateshwaraa medical college hospital and Research Institute, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

²Professor Cum HOD, Department of Medical and surgical Nursing, PPG College of Nursing(Affliated to Tamil Nadu Dr.M.G.R.Medical University, Chennai), Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

³Principal, PPG College of Nursing(Affliated to Tamil Nadu Dr.M.G.R Medical University, Chennai), Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

Received: 23-08-2025; Revised: 17-09-2025; Accepted: 24-09-2025; Published: 08-10-2025

Abstract

Intervertebral discs are also a major cause of low back pains even without herniation because they are vulnerable to torsional injuries and internal disc dislocation. The production of pain is usually associated with either chemical or mechanical stimulation of the nerve endings in the annulus fibrosus. The current research was designed to assess the efficacy of a specific nursing intervention that would help to decrease the cases of low back pain in the group of patients with intervertebral disc prolapse and hospitalized in one of the chosen hospitals in Chennai. A general systems theory formed the conceptual framework that informed the current study and pre-experimental design was used. The participants were selected through a non-probability purposive method to sample 60 participants. Numerical Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) was used to measure the baseline pain intensity. After the pre-test assessment, intervention included the education of the subjects on correct body mechanics and use of hot fomentation. The level of pain was measured again with the same NPRS tool at the end of the intervention. The findings revealed the mean pre-test pain group was 6.88 with SD (1.79) that reduced to 2.15 with SD (1.14) in post-test. The t-test value (t = 17.276, p < 0.001) confirmed the statistical significance of the decrease in pain, with the mean difference in pain being 4.73. These results show that the targeted nursing interventions of proper body mechanics training and hot application are quite effective in the management of low back pain in patients with intervertebral disc prolapse.

Keywords: Inter vertebral disc prolapse, Low back pain, Specific Nursing intervention.

1.Introduction

The disc that exists between the vertebrae (the intervertebral disc, or IVD) is essential in keeping the spine in a healthy state. It is structurally a fibrocartilaginous cushion, the main joint between two adjacent vertebrae. There are 23 intervertebral discs in the human spine, with six located in the neck (cervical region), twelve in the midback (thoracic region), and five in the lower back (lumbar region)(1). These disks enable the spine to be flexible and more importantly strong and stable. They also provide shock absorbing functions to distribute mechanical loads and avoid direct contact between vertebrae. The main elements of each of the discs are the nucleus pulposus (NP), or gel-like central core; the annulus fibrosus (AF), fibrous outer ring; and the cartilaginous endplates, which connect the disc to the other spine vertebrae.

2. Need for the Study

One of the primary causes of the degeneration of the intervertebral disc (IVD) is a cause of discogenic low back pain (LBP), one of the most common causes of disability in the world(2). The incidence of disc degeneration is about 26-42 percent of the total cases of low back pain and thus a serious health issue. This is mostly prevalent in people aged 30 to 50 years and the highest prevalence is in this age group. Moreover, the probability of disc herniation at the level of L45 or L5S1 (the most frequent sites of injuries) is close to 95 percent in people between the ages of 25 and 55 years. Although disc disease is a common aetiological factor, it is determined to be the leading cause affects fewer than 5% of back pain patients overall, which explains the necessity of a specific research and suitable interventions.

3. Problem Statement

A pre-experimental study the effectiveness of specific nursing intervention on lowback pain among patients with intervertebral disc prolapse at selected hospital, Chennai.(3).

Objectives

- To assess the level of low back pain among patients with intervertebral disc prolapse.
- To evaluate the effectiveness of specific nursing intervention on low back pain among patients with intervertebral disc prolapse.
- To assess the association between the level of lowback pain with selected variables.

Hypotheses

- H1:There is a significant difference in the level of low back pain among patients with intervertebral disc prolapse after the specific nursing intervention.
- H2: There is a significant association between the level of low back pain among the patients with intervertebral disc prolapse with their selected demographic and clinical variables.

4.Conceptual Structure

A conceptual framework is a systematic interpretation of the phenomenon being studied and is an expression of the assumptions made and philosophical views behind the study. It is constructed on the basis of major concepts and their correlations. This study has a conceptual framework based on the General System Theory (GST) by a biologist, Ludwig von Bertalanffy (1967). Bertalanffy defined a system as a combination of interrelated parts, which collaborate towards the accomplishment of a given objective. Human being can also be considered a system because he/she has the input as he/she gets the input of the environment, processes it and gives output.

This is a cyclical process and it depends on an on-going interaction between input, throughput, output and feedback. Any change in one aspect impacts on the whole system. The feedback, whether the system or the external environment, gives the necessary information that allows the system to modify its processes to give the desired result(4). The patient is the system in the current research, the nursing intervention is the input, the intervention process is the throughput, the pain reduction is the output, and the post-test evaluation is the feedback(whether the objective of reducing pain was met or not).

5.Methodology

Research methodology is the systematic way of approaching a research problem and it includes methods of collecting data, methods of interpreting data and making conclusions following research. It is the roadmap towards carrying out a research(5).

Research Design:

In this study, a pre-experimental one-group pre-test post-test research design was used to test the effectiveness of a particular nursing intervention on low back pain in patients with intervertebral disc prolapse.

Data Collection Procedure:

On Day 1, the participants were asked to provide demographic and clinical variables. Numerical Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) was used to measure the level of low back pain during the pre-test level. After this, the investigator applied a nursing intervention, which comprised of:

- 15minute show of good body mechanics.
- Hot fomentation 15-20 minutes twice a day during three days.
- The level of low back pain was determined again on Day 3 using the same tool of NPRS.

Study Setting:

It was carried out in the Orthopaedic General Wards of Sri Venkateswaraa Medcity, Chennai as a 700-bed multispecialty hospital, which receives approximately 250-300 orthopedic patients monthly(6).

Population:

The study targeted the entire population of all patients with the diagnosis of intervertebral disc prolapse and low back pain. The population available entailed patients hospitalized due to the intervertebral disc prolapse and low back pain at the time of carrying out the study at Sri Venkateswaraa Medcity.

Sampling Methodology and Sample size:

Participants were recruited using a non-probability purposive approach. The patients were chosen as both male and female of the age between 30years to 80years; 60 patients were included in total(7).

Section I

Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Demographic Variables among Patients with Intervertebral Disc Prolapse

TABLE 1 Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Demographic Variables n = 60

	TABLE 1 Frequency and Percentage Distribution of	Experimental group						
S.No	Demographic Variables	Frequency	Percentage					
		(f)	(%)					
1	Age in years							
	30 – 40	23	38.4					
	41 – 50	17	28.3					
	51 – 60	15	25.0					
	61 – 70	5	8.3					
	71 – 80	-	-					
2	Gender							
	Male	18	30.0					
	Female	42	70.0					
3	Religion							
	Hindu	49	81.7					
	Christian	8	13.3					
	Muslim	3	5.0					
	Others	-	-					
4	Marital status							
	Married	56	93.3					
	Unmarried	3	5.0					
	Single	1	1.7					
	Widower	-	-					
	Separated	-	-					
5	Educational qualification							
	Illiterate	13	21.7					
	Primary (1 – 5 th std)	2	3.3					
	Middle (6 – 8 th std)	6	10.0					
	High school (9 – 10 th std)	7	11.7					
	Higher Secondary (11, 12 th std)	10	16.6					
	Graduate	22	36.7					
6	Occupation							
	Government employee	-	-					

		Experiment	Experimental group				
S.No	Demographic Variables	Frequency	Percentage				
		(f)	(%)				
	Private employee	38	63.3				
	Self employee	9	15.0				
	Unemployed	13	21.7				
	Retired	-	-				
7	Monthly income						
	Below Rs.20,000	31	51.7				
	20,001 – 30,000	22	36.6				
	30,001 – 40,000	6	10.0				
	Above 40,000	1	1.7				
8	Type of family						
	A joint family	16	26.7				
	A nuclear family	44	73.3				
9	Diet pattern						
	Vegetarian	-	-				
	Non-vegetarian	-	-				
	Mixed	60	100.0				
10	Duration of low back pain						
	Less than one year	37	61.7				
	1-3 years	21	35.0				
	4 – 5 years	-	-				
	More than 5 years	2	3.3				
11	Family history of inter vertebral disc prolapse						
	Yes	1	1.7				
	No	59	98.3				
12	Personal habits						
	Alcoholism						
	Yes	7	11.7				
	No	53	88.3				
	Smoking						
	Yes	6	10.0				
	No	54	90.0				

	Demographic Variables	Experimental group					
S.No		Frequency	Percentage				
		(f)	(%)				
	Exercise						
	Yes	3	5.0				
	No	57	95.0				

Table 1 Gives the frequency and percent distribution of demographics of the patients with inter vertebral disc prolapse. In age terms, most of the participants were found in the categories 30-40 years (38.4%), 17 (28.3%), 5 (25%), and 5 (8.3), respectively. None of the participants fell between the ages of 71-80 years. In terms of gender, 42 (70 percent) were women and 18 (30 percent) were men. Religiously, the majority of participants 49 (81.7%) were members of the Hindu religion, then 8 (13.3) Christians and 3 (5) Muslims. With regard to marital status, 56 (93.3) were married, 3 (5) were unmarried and 1 (1.7) was single. Regarding education, 22 (36.7) were graduates, 13 (23.7) illiterate, 10 (16.6) higher secondary school, 11 (7.7) high school, 6 (10%) middle school and 2 (3.3) primary school.On occupation, 38 (63.3) were the employees of privates, 9 (15) were self-employed, 13 (21.7) were unemployed, and 2 (6.7) were government employees. Regarding monthly income, 31 (51.7%) had monthly income less than 20,000, 22 (36.6) between 20,001 and 30,000, 6 (10) between 30,001 and 40,000, and 1 (1.7) more than 40,000. On type of family it was found that 44 (73.3) were nuclear families and 16 (26.7) joint families(8). The proportion of all participants that followed a mixed diet pattern was 60 (100%). Regarding the time of pain, 37 (61.7%) had a low back pain that lasted less than one year, 21 (35.0) lasted 1-3 years, and 2 (3.3) lasted over 5 years. In terms of family history of intervertebral disc prolapse, 59 (98.3) reported no such history, and 1(1.7) reported positive family history. When it came to lifestyle habits, 53 (88.3) never drank alcohol whereas 7 (11.7) did. Likewise, 54 (90%) of them were non-smokers, 6 (10%) smoked. Also, 57 (95%) did not regularly exercise, and 3 (5%), exercised.

Section II

Prevalence and Percentage Distribution of Clinical Characteristics of Patients with Intervertebral Disc Prolapse

TABLE 2 Clinical Variables' Frequency and Percentage Distribution n = 60

S.No	Clinical Variables	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)
1	BMI		
	Below18.5 (Underweight)	-	-
	18.5-24.9 (Healthy)	26	43.3
	25-29.9 (Obese)	29	48.4
	>30 (Overweight)	5	8.3
2	Co-morbidities		
	Diabetes mellitus	7	11.7
	Hypertension	10	16.7
	Diabetes mellitus and hypertension	9	15.0
	Hypothyroidism	-	-
	Cardiac disease	-	-
	None	34	56.6
3	Previous history of hospitalization related to orthopedic problem		

S.No	Clinical Variables	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)
	Yes	2	3.3
	No	58	96.7
4	Previous surgical history of hospitalization related to orthopedic problem		
	Yes	-	-
	No	60	100.0
5	Congenital anomalies related to spinal problems		
	Yes	-	-
	No	60	100.0
6	History of accident		
	Yes	-	-
	No	60	100.0
7	MRI scan findings		
	Mild	33	55.0
	Moderate	27	45.0
	Severe	-	-

Table 2 Shows the frequency and percentage distribution of clinical variables levels among patients with intervertebral disc prolapse. Concerning Body Mass Index (BMI) 29 (48.4) respondents were obese, 26 (43.3) respondents were in healthy weight and 5 (8.3) respondents were overweight. No participants were underweight. In terms of comorbidity, 10 (16.7) had hypertension, 7 (11.7) had diabetes mellitus, and 9 (15) had both diabetes and hypertension. No participants reported hypothyroidism or cardiac diseases. On prior hospitalization concerning orthopedic issues, 58 (96.7%) had no prior hospitalizations and 2 (3.3) had prior hospitalization. The participants were free of any previous orthopedic surgeries, spinal disorders or accidental injuries, and on the basis of MRI findings, 33 (55) participants had mild intervertebral disc prolapse, and 27 (45) participants had moderate intervertebral disc prolapse(9).

Section III

Assessment of Patients with Intervertebral Disc Prolapse for Low Back Pain

TABLE 3 Assessment of Low Back Pain n = 60

Level of Low Back Pain	Pre	etest	Post Test			
Level of Low Back I am	F	%	F	%		
Not experiencing any discomfort (0)	-	-	-	-		
Pain that is not too severe (1–3)	-	-	55	91.7		
Pain that is moderate (4–6)	23	38.3	5	8.3		
Severe pain (7 – 10)	37	61.7	-	-		

Table 3 Shows the percentage and frequency of the levels of low back pain in patients with intervertebral disc prolapse of pre-test and post-test. The levels of pain were classified into no pain, mild pain, moderate pain and severe pain. During the pre-test, most respondents, 37 (61.7%), experienced severe pain whereas 23 (38.3%),

experienced moderate pain. All the participants did not experience mild or no pain during the pre-test. The results of the post-tests following the application of the nursing intervention were significant improvement in pain levels. Most of the participants, 55 (91.7) reported mild pain and only 5 (8.3) still had moderate pain. None of the participants complained of severe pain, post-intervention. These data prove that the level of pain among the patients with intervertebral disc prolapse is significantly decreased after the introduction of the particular nursing intervention.

Section IV

The impact of several nursing interventions on patients with intervertebral disc prolapse who have low back pain **TABLE 4** Effectiveness of Specific Nursing Interventions $\mathbf{n} = 60$

Assessment	Mean	S.D	Mean Difference score	Paired 't' test & p- value
Pre test	6.88	1.79		t=17.276
Post-test	2.15	1.14	4.73	p=0.0001, S***

***p<0.001 S – Significant

Table 4 Shows demonstrate the effectiveness of specific nursing interventions in reducing low back pain among patients with intervertebral disc prolapse. The mean pre-test pain score was 6.88 ± 1.79 , which decreased substantially to 2.15 ± 1.14 in the post-test. The paired t-test value (t = 17.276) was statistically significant at p = 0.001, and the mean difference of 4.73 indicates that the targeted nursing interventions were highly effective in alleviating low back pain in this patient population.

Section V

The association between certain clinical and demographic factors and low back pain in patients with intervertebral disc prolapse.

TABLE 5.1 Correlation of Low Back Pain with Selected Demographic Variables n = 60

S.No	Demographic Variables	Mild		Mild		Mild					derat e	Sev	ere	Chi-Square & p-value
		F	%	F	%	F	%	•						
1	Age in years													
	20 40	22	36.	1	1.7	-	-							
	30 – 40		7					$\chi^2=1.994$						
	41 – 50	16	26. 7	1	1.7	-	-	df=3						
	41 – 30	1.0						p=0.574						
	51 – 60	13	21. 7	2	3.3	-	-	(NS)						
	61 – 70	4	6.7	1	1.7	-	-							
	71 – 80	-	-		-	-	-							
2	Gender							χ²=0.260						
	261	17	28.	1	1.7	-	-	df=1						
	Male		3					p=0.610						
	Female	38	63.	4	6.7	-	-	(NS)						

	Demographic Variables	Mi		Mo	Moderat e		ere	Chi-Square
S.No	Demographic variables	F	%	F	%	F	%	& p-value
3	Religion							
	Hindu	44	73. 3	5	8.3	-	-	$\chi^2=1.224$ df=2
	Christian	8	13. 3	0	0	-	-	p=0.542
	Muslim	3	5.0	0	0	-	-	(NS)
	Others	-	-	-	=	-	-	
4	Marital status							
	Married	52	86. 7	4	6.7	-	-	$\chi^2=11.377$
	Unmarried	3	5.0	0	0	-	-	df=2
	Single	0	0	1	1.7	-	-	p=0.003 S**
	Widower	-	-	-	-	-	-	S**
	Separated	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5	Educational qualification							
	Illiterate	11	18. 3	2	3.3	-	-	
	Primary (1 – 5 th std)	2	3.3	0	0	-	-	χ²=3.929
	Middle (6 – 8 th std)	6	10. 0	0	0	-	-	df=5
	High school (9 – 10 th std)	7	11. 7	0	0	-	-	p=0.560 (NS)
	Higher secondary (11, 12 th std)	10	16. 7	0	0	-	-	
	Graduate	19	31. 7	3	5.0	-	-	
6	Occupation							χ²=1.406
	Government employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	χ ⁻ -1.406 df=2
	Private employee	36	60. 0	2	3.3	-	-	p=0.495
	Self employee	8	13. 3	1	1.7	-	-	(NS)

e-ISSN-	2998-819	5 Print	ISSN.	2998-8187
6-19914.	4220-012	ı rııııı	TOOLA:	4220-010/

		Mi	ild	Mo	derat		vere	Ch: Company
S.No	Demographic Variables	1.2.		e				Chi-Square & p-value
		F	%	F	%	F	%	, co p · · ······
		11	18.	2	3.3	-	-	
	Unemployed		3					
	Retired	-	-	-	-	-	-	
7	Monthly income							
	Below Rs.20,000	29	48.	2	3.3	-	-	$\chi^2=12.102$ df=3
	Rs.20,001 – 30,000	21	35. 0	1	1.7	-	-	p=0.007
	Rs.30,001 – 40,000	5	8.3	1	1.7	-	-	S
	Above 40,000	0	0	1	1.7	-	-	
8	Type of family							χ²=3.099
		13	21.	3	5.0	-	-	df=1
	Joint family		7					p=0.078
	Nuclear family	42	70. 0	2	3.3	-	-	(NS)
9	Diet pattern							
	Vegetarian	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Non-vegetarian	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mixed	55	91. 7	5	8.3	-	-	
10	Duration of low back pain							
	Less than one year	34	56. 7	3	5.0	-	-	$\chi^2=0.223$ df=2
	1 – 3 years	19	31. 7	2	3.3	-	-	p=0.894
	4 – 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-	(NS)
	More than 5 years	2	3.3	0	0	-	-	
11	Family history of inter vertebral disc prolapsed							χ²=0.092
	Yes	1	1.7	0	0	-	-	df=1
		54	90.	5	8.3	-	-	p=0.761
	No		0					(NS)
12	Personal habits							

S.No	Demographic Variables	Mild		Moderat e		Severe		Chi-Square & p-value
		F	%	F	%	F	%	
	Alcoholism							$\chi^2 = 0.368$
	Yes	6	10.	1	1.7	-	-	df=1
	ies		0					p=0.544
	No	49	81. 7	4	6.7	-	-	(NS)
	Smoking							$\chi^2 = 0.606$
	Yes	5	8.3	1	1.7	-	-	df=1
		50	83.	4	6.7	-	-	p=0.436
	No		3					(NS)
	Exercise							χ²=0.287
	Yes	3	5.0	0	0	-	-	df=1
		52	86.	5	8.3	-	-	p=0.592
	No		7					(NS)

p < 0.01, S – Significant, N.S – Not Significant

Table 5.1 The investigation looked at how post-test levels of low back pain in patients with intervertebral disc prolapse related to demographic characteristics. The findings showed that post-test pain levels were substantially correlated with monthly income ($\chi^2 = 12.102$, p = 0.007) and marital status ($\chi^2 = 11.377$, p = 0.003) at p < 0.05.

TABLE 5.2 Correlation of Low Back Pain with Selected Clinical Variables n = 60

S.No	Clinical Variables	Mild		Moderat e		Severe		Chi-Square & p-value
		F	%	F	%	F	%	
1	BMI							
	Below18.5 (Underweight)	-	-	-	-			$\chi^2 = 3.053$
	18.5-24.9 (Healthy)	2 2	36.7	4	6.7	0	0	df=2 p=0.217 (NS)
	25-29.9 (Obese)	2 8	46.7	1	1.7	0	0	
	>30 (Overweight)	5	8.3	0	0	0	0	
2	Co-morbidities							$\chi^2=3.774$
	Diabetes mellitus	6	10.0	1	1.7	0	0	df=3 p=0.287 (NS)
	Hypertension	1 0	16.7	0	0	0	00	

S.No	Clinical Variables	Mild		Moderat e		Severe		Chi-Square & p-value
		F	%	F	%	F	%	
	Diabetes mellitus and hypertension	7	11.7	2	3.3	0		
	Hypothyroidism	-	-	-	-	0	0	
	Cardiac disease	-	-	-	-	0	0	
	None	3 2	53.3	2	3.3	0	0	
3	Previous history of hospitalization related to orthopedic problem							$\chi^2=0.188$ df=1 p=0.665
	Yes	2	3.3	0	0	0	0	(NS)
	No	5 3	88.3	5	8.3	0	0	
4	Previous surgical history of hospitalization related to orthopedic problem							-
	Yes	-	-	-	-	0	0	
	No	5 5	91.7	5	8.3	0	0	
5	Congenital anomalies related to spinal problems							_
	Yes	-	-	-	-	0	0	
	No	5 5	91.7	5	8.3	0	0	
6	History of accident							
	Yes	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
	No	5 5	91.7	5	8.3	0	0	
7	MRI scan findings							$\chi^2=2.700$ df=1
	Mild	3 2	53.3	1	1.7	0	0	p=0.100

S.No	Clinical Variables	Mild		Moderat e		Severe		Chi-Square & p-value
		F	%	F	%	F	%	
	Moderate	2 3	38.3	4	6.7	0	0	(NS)
	Severe	-	-	-	-	0	0	

N.S - Not Significant

Table 5.2 According to the research, there was no statistically significant correlation between any of the clinical factors and the post-test levels of low back pain in individuals who had intervertebral disc prolapse (p > 0.05).

6.Discussion

This research paper aimed to discuss the success of a given nursing intervention in the management of low back pain in patients diagnosed of inter vertebral disc prolapse. These results clearly indicate that intervention methods of nursing care that were specifically aimed at the reduction of the intensity of pain were quite effective. The discussion combines the demographic, clinical and outcome variables in order to give a holistic picture of the results.

The findings are interpreted to indicate that the occurrence of the pain score change between the pre-test and post-test is a direct result of the nursing interventions acted in response to the experiment, which involved educating patients on good body mechanics and using hot compresses. It is likely that these interventions enhanced muscle relaxation, inflammation alleviation, and muscle encouragement of correct posture, all of which enhance pain relief.

Analytically, the statistically significant difference in the mean scores of pain is evidence that the structured nursing interventions can effectively relieve low back pain. Also, demographic factors, including marital status and monthly income were discovered to have a significant impact on the level of post-test pain, which indicates the importance of psychosocial and economic factors in pain perception and recovery. Conversely, there was no significant relationship between clinical variables indicating that pain reduction was not dependent on clinical characteristics at the baseline.

In general, the findings confirm the significance of nurse-interventions in a multidisciplinary approach in low back pain management of patients with intervertebral disc prolapse. The results are in compliance with the current literature that pays great attention to the importance of non-pharmacological approaches, which include patient education and thermotherapy in pain management.

7. Conclusion

The findings of the study are that a given combination of nursing interventions can contribute a meaningful role in the reduction of the severity of the low back pain among patients with intervertebral disc prolapse. The level of pain at the baseline was measured using Numerical Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) and demographic and clinical data were identified on the first day. The patients were then offered a structured nursing intervention, i.e., education on proper body mechanics (15 minutes) and use of hot compresses (15-20 minutes) that were administered twice a day over three days.

An evaluation of pain levels on the third day, after the intervention, showed that the level of pain significantly decreased, which proved to be the effect of the interventions. This finding demonstrates that non-complicated, low-cost, and nurse-delivered interventions like appropriate body Positioning and hot packs may be vital in the management of pain and enhancement of the quality of life of patients with intervertebral disc prolapse.

Acknowledgement: Nil

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare

References

- 1. Abdel-Fattah A-R, Irving A, Baliga S, Myint PK, Martin KR. How to spot the recurring lumbar disc? Risk factors for recurrent lumbar disc herniation (rLDH) in adult patients with lumbar disc prolapse: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Orthop Belg. 2023; 89(3): 381–392.
- 2. Alshammari QT, Soundararajan LRA, Thankappan SM, Alshammari MT. Correlation between pain, disability and levels of disc herniation in Michigan state university grade-3 disc prolapsed patients using magnetic resonance imaging: A cross-sectional study. J Clin Diagn Res. 2022; 16(1): OC01–OC05.
- 3. Arirachakaran A, Siripaiboonkij M, Pairuchvej S, Setrkraising K, Pruttikul P, Piyasakulkaew C, et al. Comparative outcomes of epidural steroids versus placebo after lumbar discectomy in lumbar disc herniation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol. 2018; 28(8): 1589–1599.
- 4. AbdElwahhab A, et al. Quasi-experimental research designs: Determine the effect of hot or cold application on back pain in patients with herniated discs. J Nursing Res. 2019; 13(2): 173–179.
- 5. Betty M, Johnson PB. An introduction to theory and reasoning in nursing. Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. 2019.
- 6. Berman AT, Snyder S. Kozier & Erb's fundamentals of nursing: Concepts, process, and practice. Prentice-Hall. 2019.
- Boakye LAT, Fourman MS, Spina NT, Laudermilch D, Lee JY. "Post-decompressive neuropathy": New-onset postlaminectomy lower extremity neuropathic pain different from the preoperative complaint. Asian Spine J. 2018; 12(6): 1043–1052
- 8. Chen M, Chen R, Xiong J, Yi F, Chi Z, Zhang B. Effectiveness of heat-sensitive moxibustion in the treatment of lumbar disc herniation: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2011; 12(226): 1–6.
- 9. Chiarotto A, Maxwell LJ, Ostelo RW, Boers M, Tugwell P, Terwee CB. Measurement properties of visual analogue scale, numeric rating scale, and pain severity subscale of the brief pain inventory in patients with low back pain: A systematic review. J Pain. 2019; 20(3): 245–263.
- 10. Dai J. Clinical observation of warm needling moxibustion plus lumbar traction for lumbar disc herniation. J Acupunct Tuina Sci. 2022; 20(4): 295–300.