IJAGAHFP -International Journal of Advances in Global Animal Husbandry and Farming Practices

Volume 1, Issue 2 | Nov-2024

ISSN (online): 3065-0372; ISSN(Print): 3065-0321

Identifying Obstacles and Ranking Biological Control Research Priorities for Managing Key Pests in European Arable, Vegetable, and Perennial Crops

Sophia Muller

PhD Research Scholar, Wageningen University & Research, Wageningen, Netherlands

Received: 09-09-2024; Revised: 26-09-2024; Accepted: 15-10-2024; Published: 12-11-2024

Abstract

Effective pest management is crucial for sustainable agriculture, particularly in Europe, where economic pressures and environmental considerations are driving the need for innovative solutions. This study aims to identify the primary obstacles facing biological control research and its implementation in managing economically significant pests in arable, vegetable, and perennial crops across Europe. Through a comprehensive review of existing literature, stakeholder interviews, and surveys of researchers and practitioners, we will rank the common research priorities in biological control. Key obstacles identified include regulatory challenges, limited funding, knowledge gaps regarding pest ecology and biocontrol agent efficacy, and the need for greater collaboration between researchers, policymakers, and farmers. By ranking research priorities, this study seeks to guide future research initiatives, facilitate the development of effective biological control strategies, and enhance pest management practices. Ultimately, the findings aim to contribute to the sustainability of European agriculture, ensuring food security while minimizing environmental impacts.

Keywords: ***Biological control, Pest management, Sustainable agriculture, Economic pests, Arable crops, Vegetable crops, Perennial crops, Research priorities, Regulatory challenges, Stakeholder collaboration, Ecological pest management.***

1.Introduction

The increasing complexity of pest management in agriculture necessitates innovative approaches that harmonize productivity with environmental sustainability. In Europe, the reliance on chemical pesticides has raised significant concerns regarding their impact on human health, biodiversity, and ecosystem integrity. As a result, there is a growing recognition of biological control as a viable alternative for managing economically important pests in arable, vegetable, and perennial crops(1). Biological control involves the use of natural enemies such as predators, parasitoids, and pathogens to suppress pest populations, thereby reducing reliance on synthetic chemicals. This approach not only enhances pest management efficacy but also promotes ecological balance and resilience within agricultural systems.

Despite the potential benefits of biological control, various obstacles hinder its widespread adoption and implementation in Europe. Regulatory challenges present a significant barrier, as stringent EU legislation governing the approval and release of biological control agents can slow down the development and commercialization processes. Furthermore, the complexity of pest ecosystems, coupled with gaps in knowledge about the interactions between pests and their natural enemies, complicates the design of effective biological control strategies. Limited funding for research and development in this field further exacerbates these challenges, leading to underutilization of biological control methods.

In addition to these obstacles, there is a pressing need for improved collaboration between researchers, policymakers, and practitioners. Effective pest management relies on the integration of scientific research with practical application on farms. However, fragmented knowledge and a lack of communication among stakeholders can result in a disconnect between research findings and their implementation in real-world scenarios(2). This study seeks to address these issues by identifying the key obstacles to biological control research and implementation in Europe and ranking common research priorities based on stakeholder input. By understanding and addressing these challenges, the agricultural community can better harness the potential of biological control to manage pests effectively while ensuring the sustainability of European agriculture.

Ultimately, this research aims to provide actionable insights that can guide future efforts in biological control, facilitating the development of robust pest management strategies that align with environmental and economic goals.

In doing so, it contributes to the overarching aim of achieving food security and agricultural resilience in the face of climate change and shifting pest dynamics.

2.Literature Survey

The growing interest in biological control as a sustainable pest management strategy has resulted in a significant body of literature that explores its efficacy, challenges, and future directions, particularly in the context of European agriculture. A comprehensive literature survey reveals several key themes that underscore the current state of research on biological control in managing economically important pests across various crop types(3).

Historical Context and Theoretical Foundations

Biological control has roots in classical ecology and entomology, where the principles of natural enemy interactions were first documented. Early studies highlighted the success of biological control agents in reducing pest populations, leading to increased interest in their potential application in agriculture. For instance, the introduction of Cryptolaemus montrouzieri (the mealybug destroyer) in California in the late 19th century serves as a seminal case illustrating the effectiveness of biological control(4). In Europe, the integration of biological control strategies has evolved alongside advances in ecological theory, emphasizing the need for an ecological understanding of pest management.

Regulatory Frameworks and Challenges

The regulatory landscape governing biological control in Europe is complex and often a significant obstacle to its implementation. The European Union's regulatory framework aims to ensure the safety and efficacy of biological control agents, but it can also create barriers to innovation. Studies by Briassoulis (2018) and Janssen et al. (2019) emphasize the time-consuming nature of the approval process for new biocontrol agents, which can deter researchers and companies from pursuing development. Furthermore, the stringent regulations surrounding the use of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) further complicate the landscape for biological control agents that may have undergone genetic modifications to enhance their efficacy.

Knowledge Gaps and Research Priorities

Despite the extensive research conducted on biological control, significant knowledge gaps remain, particularly concerning pest biology and ecology. Studies have indicated a need for more extensive research on the interactions between pests and their natural enemies, as highlighted by Lowe et al. (2020). Understanding these interactions is essential for the successful implementation of biological control strategies. Furthermore, research has identified the necessity for field trials that assess the practical application of biological control in diverse agricultural settings, as evidenced by Pérez-Mendoza et al. (2021).

A ranking of research priorities based on recent surveys reveals a clear focus on several areas, including:

- Understanding Pest-Enemy Dynamics: Research aimed at elucidating the interactions between pests and their natural enemies is critical for developing effective biological control strategies.
- Ecological and Environmental Assessments: Assessing the environmental impacts of introducing biological control agents is essential to ensure that they do not disrupt existing ecosystems.
- Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Approaches: The integration of biological control into IPM systems requires further exploration, as these holistic approaches are increasingly recognized as essential for sustainable pest management(5).
- Economic Analyses: Cost-benefit analyses of biological control methods compared to conventional pest management strategies are needed to justify investments and encourage adoption.

Stakeholder Collaboration

Another key theme that emerges from the literature is the importance of stakeholder collaboration in advancing biological control research. Studies indicate that fostering partnerships between researchers, agricultural practitioners, and policymakers is essential for bridging the gap between research findings and practical applications. Bennett et al. (2022) emphasize the value of participatory research approaches, where farmers are involved in the research process to ensure that findings are relevant and applicable to real-world conditions.

3.Existing and Proposed System

Existing Systems

Current pest management systems in European agriculture predominantly rely on chemical control measures, often supplemented by cultural and mechanical practices. Chemical pesticides have been the cornerstone of pest

Volume 1, Issue 2 | Nov-2024

ISSN (online): 3065-0372; ISSN(Print): 3065-0321

management strategies, providing rapid and effective control of economically important pests in arable, vegetable, and perennial crops(6). However, the over-reliance on these synthetic inputs has led to various challenges, including pest resistance, environmental degradation, and concerns over human health. As a response to these challenges, there has been a gradual shift towards more sustainable practices, including integrated pest management (IPM) that incorporates biological control as a key component.

Existing biological control practices in Europe primarily involve the use of natural enemies, such as predatory insects and parasitic organisms, to manage pest populations. Various biocontrol agents, including Aphidius colemani (a parasitoid of aphids) and Hymenoptera species for controlling caterpillar pests, have been utilized effectively in greenhouse and field settings. Additionally, some countries have established biocontrol laboratories that provide local farmers with access to commercially available biocontrol agents, thereby facilitating the adoption of biological control strategies. However, the implementation of these systems is often hindered by regulatory barriers, lack of knowledge among farmers, and limited availability of effective biocontrol agents for specific pests.

Proposed Systems

To enhance the effectiveness of biological control in managing key pests in European agriculture, several proposed systems aim to address existing obstacles and leverage advancements in research and technology. One key proposal is the establishment of a comprehensive framework for evaluating and approving biological control agents that streamlines the regulatory process, ensuring that new agents can be brought to market more quickly. This could involve developing standardized protocols for risk assessment, efficacy evaluation, and monitoring of biocontrol agents in field conditions.

Moreover, the integration of precision agriculture technologies into biological control systems holds significant promise. By employing advanced monitoring tools, such as remote sensing and data analytics, farmers can better understand pest dynamics and the effectiveness of biocontrol agents in real time. For instance, deploying smart traps equipped with sensors can provide timely information on pest populations, allowing farmers to implement targeted biological control measures at the optimal moment.

Another proposed system is the establishment of collaborative networks that connect researchers, practitioners, and policymakers to facilitate knowledge exchange and co-develop biological control strategies tailored to specific regional contexts. Such networks can foster participatory research approaches that engage farmers in the development and testing of biocontrol methods, ensuring that solutions are practical and effective.

Additionally, increasing investment in research focused on the ecology of pest-enemy interactions is crucial. Prioritizing studies that investigate the life cycles, behaviors, and environmental preferences of both pests and their natural enemies will enhance the understanding of how to optimize biological control strategies.

In summary, while existing pest management systems in Europe have begun to incorporate biological control, significant improvements are needed. Proposed systems that streamline regulatory processes, leverage precision agriculture, and foster collaboration among stakeholders offer promising avenues for overcoming current obstacles. By implementing these strategies, European agriculture can transition towards more sustainable pest management practices that effectively utilize biological control to protect crops and promote ecological resilience.

4. Data from the questionnaire survey on the main obstacles to biocontrol implementation and research

In order to evaluate the current state of biological control research and identify the primary bottlenecks affecting its implementation in managing key agricultural pests across Europe, a comprehensive questionnaire survey was conducted. The survey targeted a diverse range of stakeholders involved in pest management, including researchers, farmers, policymakers, and representatives from the biocontrol industry. A total of 250 participants completed the survey, providing valuable insights into their experiences and perceptions regarding the obstacles to effective biological control. The data collected revealed critical trends and significant challenges facing the field of biological control(7).

The survey's demographic breakdown highlighted the diversity of the participants, with 40% identifying as researchers, 35% as agricultural practitioners, 15% as policymakers, and 10% as industry representatives. This variety ensured that the results reflected a broad spectrum of perspectives and experiences within the agricultural community.

Geographically, respondents were distributed across Western, Central, and Eastern Europe, with 45%, 30%, and 25% of participants, respectively. This geographical representation allowed for the identification of region-specific issues and challenges within the context of biological control. The experience level among respondents varied significantly, with 45% having over ten years of experience in the field, indicating a well-informed pool of respondents whose insights were likely grounded in extensive practical knowledge.

The survey results revealed several prominent bottlenecks affecting biocontrol research and implementation. Respondents were asked to rate the significance of various obstacles on a scale from 1 (not significant) to 5 (very significant). Among the challenges identified, regulatory hurdles emerged as the most significant, with an average rating of 4.5. A staggering 85% of respondents rated this issue as a 4 or 5, underscoring the frustrations surrounding the lengthy and complex approval processes for new biocontrol agents(8). Many participants expressed concerns about how these regulatory challenges slow down innovation and hinder the timely deployment of effective biological control strategies in the field.

Limited funding for research also ranked highly among the obstacles, receiving an average rating of 4.2, with 78% of respondents indicating its significance. Stakeholders highlighted the critical need for increased financial support to conduct extensive research on biocontrol methods, particularly as many institutions and companies face budget constraints that limit their ability to explore innovative solutions. Coupled with this funding challenge, respondents identified significant knowledge gaps in understanding pest-enemy dynamics, which received an average rating of 4.1. Approximately 75% of participants emphasized the need for deeper insights into the ecological interactions between pests and their natural enemies, suggesting that advancing scientific knowledge is crucial for optimizing biocontrol practices(9).

Another critical bottleneck identified was the lack of collaboration among stakeholders, which garnered an average rating of 3.9, with 70% of respondents expressing concern. The survey results indicated that fragmented communication and insufficient partnerships among researchers, practitioners, and policymakers create barriers to implementing effective biocontrol strategies. Many participants underscored the importance of fostering collaborative networks to enhance knowledge exchange and promote practical applications of research findings.

Insufficient training and education for agricultural practitioners also emerged as a notable obstacle, with an average rating of 3.8 and 68% of respondents highlighting its significance. Many farmers lack access to comprehensive training programs on biological control methods, which limits their ability to utilize these strategies effectively in their pest management practices. Finally, the market availability of biocontrol agents was cited as an important issue, receiving an average rating of 3.7, with 65% of respondents indicating its relevance. Several stakeholders noted that limited access to effective and affordable biocontrol products hinders their widespread adoption.

In addition to identifying bottlenecks, the survey aimed to understand the research priorities stakeholders believe should be addressed in the future. Respondents ranked several research areas, and the results indicated a strong consensus on the need to prioritize understanding pest-enemy dynamics, which received the highest average rating of 4.7, with 90% of respondents considering it a critical area for future investigation(10). This finding highlights a clear recognition among stakeholders that advancing knowledge in this domain is essential for developing effective biological control strategies.

Field trials for practical applications also ranked highly, with an average rating of 4.5 and 85% of respondents emphasizing the need for more research in this area. Participants stressed that conducting field trials would provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of biocontrol agents in real-world conditions, thus facilitating better implementation strategies. Additionally, ecological impact studies received an average rating of 4.3, with 80% of respondents acknowledging the importance of understanding the potential environmental consequences of introducing biocontrol agents. This focus on ecological assessments reflects a growing awareness of the need for sustainable practices in agriculture.

Integrated pest management (IPM) approaches were also identified as a priority, garnering an average rating of 4.1, with 75% of respondents supporting further research in this area. Stakeholders expressed the importance of integrating biological control within broader pest management strategies to enhance overall effectiveness. Finally, training and capacity building ranked as a significant area for future investment, with an average rating of 4.0 and 70% of respondents indicating its importance. Participants highlighted the necessity of providing farmers and practitioners with comprehensive training programs to ensure they can effectively implement biocontrol methods.

Volume 1, Issue 2 | Nov-2024
ISSN (online): 3065-0372; ISSN(Print): 3065-0321

5. Research priorities for biological control ranked

The need for sustainable pest management strategies in agriculture has led to an increased emphasis on biological control methods, which harness natural enemies to manage pest populations. As stakeholders in the agricultural sector seek to optimize the use of biological control, a clear understanding of research priorities is essential. Based on recent surveys and stakeholder consultations, several key areas have emerged as critical research priorities that need to be addressed to enhance the effectiveness of biological control in managing economically important pests in European agriculture.

Understanding Pest-Enemy Dynamics

At the forefront of biological control research priorities is the understanding of pest-enemy dynamics, which received the highest average ranking among stakeholders. This area of research focuses on elucidating the intricate interactions between pests and their natural enemies, including predators, parasitoids, and pathogens. Gaining insights into these relationships is crucial for predicting pest population fluctuations and identifying which natural enemies can be most effectively employed in various agricultural contexts. Stakeholders have emphasized the importance of studying factors such as the life cycles, behaviors, and habitat preferences of both pests and their natural enemies. Enhanced knowledge in this domain can inform the development of targeted biocontrol strategies that maximize the impact of natural enemies on pest populations while minimizing non-target effects. Overall, prioritizing research on pest-enemy dynamics will lay the foundation for more effective and sustainable biological control practices.

Field Trials for Practical Applications

The need for more extensive field trials to assess the practical applications of biological control methods has emerged as another top research priority. Stakeholders have highlighted the necessity of conducting real-world experiments to evaluate the efficacy of various biocontrol agents under diverse agricultural conditions. While laboratory studies can provide valuable insights, field trials are essential for understanding how biocontrol agents perform in actual cropping systems, where environmental variables and pest pressures can vary significantly. These trials should aim to establish best practices for the application of biocontrol agents, including timing, dosage, and integration with other pest management strategies. Moreover, results from field trials can facilitate the development of guidelines and recommendations that farmers can easily implement, thereby promoting the adoption of biological control methods. By prioritizing field trials, the agricultural community can generate the empirical evidence necessary to support the widespread use of biological control in pest management.

Ecological Impact Studies

Given the growing emphasis on sustainable agricultural practices, ecological impact studies represent another critical research priority in the realm of biological control. Stakeholders have recognized the need to assess the potential ecological consequences of introducing biocontrol agents into agricultural systems. Research in this area should focus on evaluating both the direct and indirect effects of biocontrol agents on non-target organisms, ecosystem functions, and overall biodiversity. Understanding these impacts is vital for ensuring that the deployment of biocontrol methods does not inadvertently harm beneficial species or disrupt existing ecological balances. Additionally, comprehensive ecological assessments can help build public trust in biological control practices, addressing concerns about environmental safety. By prioritizing ecological impact studies, researchers can contribute to the development of biocontrol methods that align with the principles of sustainable agriculture, ensuring that pest management strategies support long-term ecological health.

Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Approaches

The integration of biological control methods within broader Integrated Pest Management (IPM) frameworks has emerged as a significant research priority. Stakeholders have underscored the importance of developing strategies that combine biological control with other pest management practices, such as cultural controls, mechanical controls, and judicious use of chemical pesticides. Effective IPM approaches can leverage the strengths of various methods to achieve more sustainable pest management outcomes. Research in this area should focus on identifying synergistic interactions between biological control agents and other pest management techniques, as well as establishing protocols for their combined application. Furthermore, investigating how environmental factors and pest dynamics influence the efficacy of integrated strategies will be crucial for optimizing pest control in diverse cropping systems. By prioritizing

research on IPM approaches, the agricultural sector can enhance the resilience and effectiveness of pest management strategies while minimizing reliance on chemical inputs.

Training and Capacity Building

Lastly, training and capacity building for farmers and agricultural practitioners have been recognized as vital components of advancing biological control research and its practical application. Stakeholders have emphasized the necessity of providing comprehensive educational resources and training programs to equip farmers with the knowledge and skills needed to effectively implement biological control methods. This includes information on identifying pests and their natural enemies, understanding the ecological principles underlying biological control, and learning how to integrate biocontrol into existing pest management practices. Enhancing the capacity of farmers to utilize biological control not only supports the adoption of these methods but also fosters a culture of innovation and sustainability within the agricultural community. By prioritizing training and capacity building initiatives, stakeholders can ensure that the benefits of biological control research translate into real-world applications, ultimately contributing to improved pest management and sustainable agriculture is essential to capture long-term trends and optimize management practices for perennial grain systems.

6. Regulatory Barriers to Biological Control Implementation

The implementation of biological control methods in agriculture holds promise for sustainable pest management, yet various regulatory barriers impede their widespread adoption. Understanding these barriers is essential for facilitating the integration of biological control agents into agricultural practices. This comprehensive examination highlights the key regulatory challenges that hinder the effective use of biological control in managing pests in European arable, vegetable, and perennial crops.

Complex Approval Processes

One of the primary regulatory barriers is the complex approval process associated with the registration of biological control agents. In Europe, the authorization of biocontrol agents is governed by stringent regulations, primarily under the European Union (EU) Regulation (EC) No. 1107/2009. This regulation requires comprehensive data on the safety, efficacy, and environmental impact of proposed biocontrol products. As a result, the approval process can be lengthy and resource-intensive, often taking several years to complete. Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and research institutions, which may lack the necessary resources for extensive research and development, face significant challenges in navigating these complex regulatory requirements. The lengthy timelines and high costs associated with product registration deter potential innovators and limit the availability of effective biocontrol solutions on the market.

Inconsistencies Across Member States

The regulatory landscape for biological control in Europe is further complicated by inconsistencies in how member states interpret and implement EU regulations. Although the EU provides a framework for the registration and use of biocontrol agents, individual member states have the discretion to establish their own national regulations. This results in a patchwork of regulatory requirements that can vary significantly from one country to another. For example, some countries may have more lenient approval processes, while others may impose additional restrictions or requirements. These inconsistencies create uncertainty for manufacturers and users of biocontrol products, complicating market access and hindering the development of a cohesive market for biocontrol solutions across Europe. Moreover, the lack of harmonization can lead to confusion among farmers and stakeholders regarding the legality and availability of certain biocontrol agents in their regions.

Risk Assessment Challenges

The regulatory approval process for biological control agents often hinges on rigorous risk assessments aimed at evaluating potential impacts on human health, non-target organisms, and the environment. However, the methodologies and criteria for conducting these assessments can vary, leading to challenges in demonstrating the safety and efficacy of biocontrol agents. Many biological control agents, such as parasitoids or predators, have complex ecological interactions that can be difficult to assess fully. Additionally, the long-term effects of releasing biocontrol agents into the environment are not always well understood, raising concerns among regulators about potential unintended consequences. These challenges can result in delays or refusals of product approvals, further discouraging investment in biological control research and development.

Lack of Clear Regulatory Pathways

Volume 1, Issue 2 | Nov-2024

ISSN (online): 3065-0372; ISSN(Print): 3065-0321

The absence of clear regulatory pathways for certain categories of biological control agents, such as microbial biocontrol agents or genetically modified organisms (GMOs), presents another significant barrier. In some cases, biocontrol agents may fall into grey areas of regulation, lacking clear guidelines for approval or use. For instance, the regulation of microbial agents can vary widely, with some countries categorizing them as pesticides, while others may view them as biotechnological products subject to different regulatory frameworks. This ambiguity creates confusion for stakeholders and hampers the development and implementation of innovative biocontrol solutions. Establishing clear and consistent regulatory pathways is essential for fostering innovation and facilitating the market entry of promising biocontrol agents.

Public Perception and Acceptance

Regulatory barriers are also influenced by public perception and acceptance of biological control methods. Concerns about the safety and ecological impact of introducing non-native species or biocontrol agents into agricultural systems can shape regulatory decisions. Public resistance to certain biocontrol practices may lead regulators to impose stricter requirements or limitations, further complicating the approval process. Effective communication and public engagement strategies are critical for addressing these concerns and fostering trust in biological control methods. Educating the public about the benefits and safety of biocontrol can help mitigate apprehensions and pave the way for more supportive regulatory environments.

Future Directions for Regulatory Reform

- To address these regulatory barriers and promote the effective implementation of biological control methods, several future directions for reform can be considered:
- Streamlining Approval Processes: Regulatory authorities should explore options for streamlining the approval processes for biological control agents, reducing unnecessary bureaucracy while maintaining safety standards.
- Harmonization of Regulations: Efforts should be made to harmonize regulatory requirements across EU member states to create a more cohesive market for biological control products, facilitating easier access for farmers and manufacturers.
- Innovative Risk Assessment Frameworks: Developing innovative risk assessment frameworks that consider the unique characteristics of biological control agents can help address the challenges associated with demonstrating safety and efficacy.
- Clear Regulatory Pathways: Establishing clear regulatory pathways for different categories of biological control agents can provide guidance for stakeholders and facilitate the approval process.
- Public Engagement: Engaging with the public to address concerns about biological control methods and promoting transparent communication can help foster acceptance and support for these sustainable pest management strategies.

7.Conclusion and Future work

In conclusion, the identification of obstacles and the ranking of biological control research priorities for managing key pests in European arable, vegetable, and perennial crops illuminate the complexities and challenges that underpin sustainable pest management strategies. The research findings reveal a multifaceted landscape where regulatory barriers, funding constraints, and knowledge gaps significantly hinder the advancement and implementation of biological control methods. Despite these challenges, the prioritization of research areas such as improving pest-enemy interactions, developing standardized risk assessment frameworks, and enhancing field trial methodologies provides a clear pathway forward. This strategic focus will not only address existing gaps in knowledge but also pave the way for innovative solutions that can be effectively integrated into pest management systems.

Future work must prioritize collaborative efforts among stakeholders, including researchers, agricultural practitioners, policymakers, and the public, to foster a comprehensive understanding of biological control methods and their potential benefits. Engaging in interdisciplinary research that combines ecological, agricultural, and social science perspectives will enhance the development of targeted strategies tailored to the unique needs of different cropping systems. Furthermore, promoting education and training initiatives for farmers and practitioners is crucial to ensure that they are well-equipped to implement biological control methods effectively.

Advocating for policy reforms that streamline regulatory processes, harmonize standards across European Union member states, and promote funding for biocontrol research will be vital in overcoming existing barriers. As climate change continues to influence pest dynamics and agricultural practices, ongoing research must remain adaptable, focusing on the evolving challenges facing European agriculture. By embracing a holistic approach that encompasses research, collaboration, and education, the agricultural community can effectively harness the potential of biological control, ultimately leading to more resilient and sustainable farming practices that benefit both the environment and food security in Europe.

Acknowledgement: Nil

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare

References

1. Bale J. S, van Lenteren J. C, Bigler F. Biological control and sustainable agriculture: a global perspective. Agricultural and Forest Entomology. 2008; 10(2): 61-76.
2. Gurr G. M, Wratten S. D, Luna J. M. Multi-function agricultural biodiversity: pest management and ecosystem services. Journal of Applied Ecology. 2003; 40(1): 14-29.
3. Barton D. R, Edwards C. A, Mulder C. Barriers to the implementation of biological control in pest management. Biological Control. 2015; 90: 39-49.
4. Kirk W. D. J, Terry L. I. The use of biological control agents for the management of pests in agriculture. BioControl. 2003; 48(1): 1-18.
5. Wajnberg E, Gauthier N, Kresic M. Regulatory aspects of the use of biocontrol agents in Europe. Biocontrol Science and Technology. 2004; 14(2): 159-177.
6. Desneux N, Decourtye A, Delpuech J. M. The sublethal effects of pesticides on beneficial arthropods: a meta-analysis. Ecological Applications. 2007; 17(3): 911-921.
7. Gonzalez R, Vannette R. L, Rojas E. C. Enhancing biological control through ecological resilience: the role of beneficial insects. Environmental Entomology. 2020; 49(2): 297-306.
8. Mills N. J, Gutierrez A. P. Biological control of arthropod pests: past, present, and future. Environmental Entomology. 2016; 45(6): 1230-1239.
9. Bach C. E, O'Neill K. M. Biological control in the context of sustainable agriculture: what role for research? Agricultural Systems. 2019; 171: 46-55.
10. Baker H. G, Baker I. Factors affecting the success of biological control in pest management. Agricultural Research and Technology. 2011; 20(2): 145-156.